UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

State of Oklahoma, et al.,)	Case No. 4:05-cv-00329-GKF-PJC
P	laintiffs,	
vs. Tyson Foods, Inc., et al.,)	THE CARGILL DEFENDANTS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF THEIR SEPARATE MOTION IN LIMINE (DKT. NO. 2413)
Ι) Defendants.))))

Defendants Cargill, Inc. and Cargill Turkey Production, LLC ("CTP") (together, "the Cargill Defendants") offer this Reply in support of their motion in limine seeking to exclude evidence concerning the Rocco company (Dkt. No. 2413) and in response to Plaintiffs' opposition to the same (Dkt. No. 2501).

Cargill began turkey operations in Arkansas in 1975.¹ (E.g., Dkt. No. 2200-2: Maupin Dep. at 288:3 – 290:12.) In April 2001, Cargill purchased the stock of Rocco Enterprises, Inc.² Cargill also retained some Rocco employees, including Mr. Maupin and Steve Willardsen, both of whom are currently CTP officers.³ Before the Rocco purchase, although Cargill did not utilize a specific best practices manual for poultry operations, the company nonetheless had several general environmental manuals and policies in place. (Contra Pls.' Resp. at 3: Dkt. No.

¹ Plaintiffs are mistaken in asserting that Cargill's Arkansas poultry operations began in 1964. (See Pls.' Opp'n Rocco Mot. in Limine at 2, 3.)

² The Cargill Defendants' original motion suggested that the Rocco transaction was an asset purchase. Based on further research, the Cargill Defendants have now concluded that the transaction was in fact a stock purchase.

³ At the time of the Rocco purchase, Mr. Maupin was not an officer of either company, but an environmental affairs manager. (Dkt. No. 2079-5: Maupin Dep. at 45:3 – 46:16.) Later, Mr. Maupin was promoted to his current position as CTP's Vice President of Agricultural

2501.) Because documents and testimony concerning the Rocco corporation have limited relevance and carry other foundation and hearsay problems, among others, the Court should grant the Cargill Defendants' motion to prevent the State's unfettered use of this evidence.

In opposing the Cargill Defendants' motion, Plaintiffs seem to contend that whatever corporate "knowledge" was **ever** generally held by the Rocco entity, Cargill necessarily acquired **all** of it stretching back in time once it purchased Rocco's stock and retained some Rocco employees. (See Dkt. No. 2501 at 1-5 (arguing, for example, that "Rocco's knowledge was retained even after its acquisition by Cargill and such knowledge is imputable to Cargill.").) In other words, Plaintiffs seem to want this Court to hold that Cargill constructively "knew" at all points in time whatever Rocco "knew" at that same point in time **even before** Cargill purchased Rocco's stock and retained some of its employees in 2001.

Plaintiffs cite no law to support this novel extension of basic corporate law norms.

Plaintiffs' response provides legal support for various uncontroversial points, including (for instance) that "[a] corporation can acquire knowledge only through its officers and agents," and that "the corporation is changed with such knowledge even though the officer or agent does not in fact communicate his knowledge to the corporation." (Id. at 4 (quoting Operators Royalty & Producing Co. v. Greene, 49 P2d 499, 502 (Okla. 1935).) For the critical points in their argument, however, Plaintiffs rely on veil-piercing cases that rested their holdings on allegations of fraud that are entirely absent here. (See id. at 4, citing, e.g., In re Crown Vantage, Inc., 2004 WL 1635543, 2004 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 13810 (N.D. Cal. 2004).) The court in Crown Vantage, for instance, pierced the corporate veil to impute knowledge of fraud from an acquired

⁽continued from previous page)

Operations. (<u>Id.</u> at 6:16-22.) Plaintiffs mistake the timeframe in which Mr. Maupin worked for (continued on next page)

company's single shareholder to the purchasing company. 2004 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 13810, at *7-10, 19-20 (involving a series of fraudulent transactions and schemes); see also Campen v. Exec. House Hotel, Inc., 434 N.E.2d 511, 517 (Ill. App. Ct. 1st Dist. 1982) (cited by Plaintiffs at 4, upholding district court's imputation sanction against a company who purposefully hid the existence of a responsible third party entity throughout pretrial); Operators Royalty, 49 P.2d at 502 (cited by Plaintiffs at 4, regarding fraudulent conveyances). In contrast, here, Plaintiffs have never even alleged fraud or veil-piercing theories against Cargill. Indeed, such an allegation would be absurd; the entire Rocco transaction took place years before the present suit even commenced, and the transaction itself has nothing to do with the merits of Plaintiffs' present claims against the Cargill Defendants. The State's authority on this point is entirely inapposite.

In short, there is no reason to broadly ascribe to Cargill any corporate knowledge of Rocco's predating Cargill's purchase of Rocco. And unless they are offered to show corporate notice or knowledge (and if the materials are beyond the personal knowledge of a witness), such old Rocco documents will necessarily fail on hearsay and foundation grounds. Further, if such evidence is offered, Cargill would need to offer substantial evidence concerning the complicated corporate purchase to provide the full context necessary for the jury to evaluate any arguable link between Rocco's corporate knowledge and that of the Cargill Defendants. The marginal probative value of this Rocco information is substantially outweighed by the danger that the evidence would confuse and mislead the factfinder and waste the Court and jury's time. Thus, the Court should exclude such evidence.

(continued from previous page)

or with Rocco; it was actually approximately 18 years. (Id. at 11:5-10, 18:16-21.)

Date: September 4, 2009

RHODES, HIERONYMUS, JONES, TUCKER & GABLE, PLLC

By: /s/ John H. Tucker

John H. Tucker, OBA #9110 Theresa Noble Hill, OBA #19119 100 W. Fifth St., Ste. 400 (74103-4287) P.O. Box 21100 Tulsa, Oklahoma 74121-1100

Tel: (918) 582-1173 Fax: (918) 592-3390

FAEGRE & BENSON LLP Delmar R. Ehrich Bruce Jones Krisann C. Kleibacker Lee 2200 Wells Fargo Center 90 South Seventh Street Minneapolis, MN 55402-3901

Tel: (612) 766-7000 Fax: (612) 766-1600

Attorneys for Defendants Cargill, Inc. and Cargill Turkey Production, LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on the 4th day of September, 2009, I electronically transmitted the attached document to the Clerk of Court using the ECF System for filing and transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to the following ECF registrants:

W. A. Drew Edmondson, Attorney General Kelly Hunter Burch, Assistant Attorney General drew_edmondson@oag.state.ok.us kelly_burch@oag.state.ok.us

Melvin David Riggs
Joseph P. Lennart
Richard T. Garren
Sharon K. Weaver
Robert Allen Nance
Dorothy Sharon Gentry

David P. Page Riggs Abney Neal Turpen Orbison & Lewis, P.C. driggs@riggsabney.com jlennart@riggsabney.com rgarren@riggsabney.com sweaver@riggsabney.com rnance@riggsabney.com sgentry@riggsabney.com dpage@riggsabney.com

Bullock, Bullock and Blakemore, PLLC

lbullock@bullock-blakemore.com

William H. Narwold Frederick C. Baker Lee M. Heath Elizabeth Claire Xidis

Louis W. Bullock

Elizabeth Claire Xidis Fidelma L Fitzpatrick Mathew P. Jasinski Motley Rice LLC bnarwold@motleyrice.com fbaker@motleyrice.com lheath@motleyrice.com exidis@motleyrice.com ffitzpatrick@motleyrice.com mjasinski@motleyrice.com

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS

A. Diane Hammons Attorney General, Cherokee Nation

Sara E. Hill <u>sara-hill@cherokee.org</u>

COUNSEL FOR INTERVENER, CHEROKEE NATION

Stephen L. Jantzen Paula M. Buchwald Patrick Michael Ryan Ryan, Whaley & Coldiron, P.C. sjantzen@ryanwhaley.com pbuchwald@ryanwhaley.com pryan@ryanwhaley.com

diane-hammons@cherokee.org

Mark D. Hopson
Jay Thomas Jorgensen
Timothy K. Webster
Gordon D. Todd
Erik J. Ives
Core P. Victori Long

mhopson@sidley.com jjorgensen@sidley.com twebster@sidley.com gtodd@sidley.com eives@sidley.com cvigluccilopez@sidley.com

Cara R. Viglucci Lopez Sidley Austin LLP

> bryan.burs@tyson.com robert.george@tyson.com

L Bryan Burns Robert W. George Michael R. Bond

robert.george@tyson.com michael.bond@kutakrock.com Erin W. Thompson Dustin R. Darst Kutack Rock LLP erin.thompson@kutakrock.com dustin.dartst@kutakrock.com

COUNSEL FOR TYSON FOODS, INC., TYSON POULTRY, INC., TYSON CHICKEN, INC.; AND COBB-VANTRESS, INC.

R. Thomas Lay rtl@kiralaw.com

Kerr, Irvine, Rhodes & Ables

Jennifer S. Griffin jgriffin@lathropgage.com

Lathrop & Gage, L.C.

COUNSEL FOR WILLOW BROOK FOODS, INC.

Robert P. Redemann rredemann@pmrlaw.net
William D. Perrine wperrine@pmrlaw.net
Lawrence W. Zeringue lzeringue@pmrlaw.net
David C .Senger dsenger@pmrlaw.net
Gregory A. Mueggenborg gmueggenborg@pmrlaw.net

Perrine, McGivern, Redemann, Reid, Berry & Taylor, PLLC

Robert E. Sanders rsanders@youngwilliams.com
E. Stephen Williams steve.williams@youngwilliams.com

Young Williams P.A.

COUNSEL FOR CAL-MAINE FOODS, INC. AND CAL-MAINE FARMS, INC.

George W. Owens
Randall E. Rose
gwo@owenslawfirmpc.com
rer@owenslawfirmpc.com

The Owens Law Firm, P.C.

James M. Gravesjgraves@bassettlawfirm.comGary V. Weeksgweeks@bassettlawfirm.comWoody Bassettwbassett@bassettlawfirm.comVincent O. Chadickvchadick@bassettlawfirm.comK. C. Dupps Tuckerkctucker@bassettlawfirm.com

Bassett Law Firm

COUNSEL FOR GEORGE'S INC. AND GEORGE'S FARMS, INC.

John R. Elrodjelrod@cwlaw.comVicki Bronsonvbronson@cwlaw.comBruce W. Freemanbfreeman@cwlaw.comP. Joshua Wisleyjwisley@cwlaw.com

Conner & Winters, LLLP

COUNSEL FOR SIMMONS FOODS, INC.

A. Scott McDaniel

Nicole M. Longwell

Philip D. Hixon

Craig Mirkes

Smcdaniel@mhla-law.com

nlongwell@mhla-law.com

phixon@mhla-law.com

cmirkes@mhla-law.com

McDaniel, Hixon, Longwell & Acord, PLLC

Sherry P. Bartley sbartley@mwsgw.com Mitchell Williams Selig Gates & Woodyard

COUNSEL FOR PETERSON FARMS, INC.

Michael D. Graves mgraves@hallestill.com
Dale Kenyon Williams, Jr. kwilliams@hallestill.com

COUNSEL FOR CERTAIN POULTRY GROWERS

I also hereby certify that I served the attached documents by United States Postal Service, proper postage paid, on the following who are not registered participants of the ECF System:

Thomas C. Green
Sidley Austin Brown & Wood LLP
1501 K Street NW
Washington, DC 20005
COUNSEL FOR TYSON FOODS,
INC., TYSON POULTRY, INC.,
TYSON CHICKEN, INC.; AND
COBB-VANTRESS, INC.

s/ John H. Tucker